arXiv:1508.05152v1 [math.CO] 21 Aug 2015

MINIMUM CODEGREE THRESHOLD FOR C_6^3-FACTORS IN 3-UNIFORM HYPERGRAPHS

WEI GAO AND JIE HAN

Abstract. Let C_6^3 be the 3-uniform hypergraph on $\{1, \dots, 6\}$ with edges $123, 345, 561$, which can be seen as the triangle in 3-uniform hypergraphs. For sufficiently large n divisible by 6, we show that every n-vertex 3-uniform hypergraph H with minimum codegree at least $n/3$ contains a C_6^3-factor, i.e., a spanning subhypergraph consisting of vertex-disjoint copies of C_6^3. The minimum codegree condition is best possible. This improves the asymptotical result obtained by Mycroft and answers a question of Rödl and Ruciński exactly.

1. Introduction

In graph theory, finding certain large or spanning subgraph in a given graph H is one of the most important topics to study. In particular, finding vertex-disjoint copies of some given graph has a long history and has received much attention (see surveys [26, 32, 39]). More precisely, given a graph G of order g and a graph H of order n, a *G-tiling* of H is a subgraph of H that consists of vertex-disjoint copies of G. When g divides n, a *perfect G-tiling* (or a *G-factor*) of H is a G-tiling of H consisting of n/g copies of G.

When G is a single edge, the perfect G-tiling is also called a *perfect matching*. Tutte's Theorem [38] gives a characterization of all those graphs which contain a perfect matching. But for the tilings of general G, no such characterization is known. Moreover, Hell and Kirkpatrick [18] showed that the decision problem of whether a graph H has a G-factor is NP-complete if and only if G has a component which contains at least 3 vertices. So it is natural to find sufficient conditions which ensure the existence of a G-factor.

The celebrated Hajnal-Szemerédi Theorem [9] says that every n-vertex graph H with $\delta(H) \geq (k-1)n/k$ contains a K_k-factor (the case $k = 3$ was obtained by Corrádi and Hajnal [3]). For general graph G, the minimum degree threshold for G-factors was determined by Kühn and Osthus [27], up to an additive constant, improving the results in [2, 23].

It is natural to extend these results to hypergraphs. Given $k \geq 2$, a *k-uniform hypergraph* (in short, *k-graph*) consists of a vertex set V and an edge set $E \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$, where every edge is a k-element subset of V. Given a k-graph H with a set S of d vertices (where $1 \leq d \leq k-1$) we define $\deg_H(S)$ to be the number of edges containing S (the subscript H is often omitted if it is clear from the context). The *minimum d-degree* $\delta_d(H)$ of H is the minimum of $\deg_H(S)$ over all d-vertex sets S in H. We refer to $\delta_{k-1}(H)$ as the *minimum codegree* of H. The G-tilings and G-factors in k-graphs are defined analogously as in graphs. Define $t_d(n, G)$ to be the smallest integer t such that every k-graph H of order $n \in g\mathbb{N}$ with $\delta_d(H) \geq t$ contains a G-factor.

The second author is supported by FAPESP (Proc. 2014/18641-5).

However, tilings problems become much harder for hypergraphs. For example, despite much recent progress [1, 6, 21, 22, 28, 34, 37, 36], we still do not know the 1-degree threshold for a perfect matching in k-graphs for arbitrary k.

Other than the matching case, most work on G-factors has been done when G is a 3-graph on four vertices or when G is a k-partite k-graph. Let K_4^3 be the complete 3-graph on four vertices, and let $K_4^3 - e$ be the (unique) 3-graph on four vertices with three edges. Let $K_4^3 - 2e$ be the (unique) 3-graph on four vertices with two edges (this 3-graph was denoted by C_4^3 in [24] and by $\mathcal{Y}$ in [16]). Lo and Markström [30] showed that $t_2(n, K_4^3) = (3/4 + o(1))n$ and Keevash and Mycroft [20] determined the exact value of $t_2(n, K_4^3)$ for sufficiently large n. Lo and Markström [29] showed that $t_2(n, K_4^3 - e) = (1/2 + o(1))n$, and very recently Han, Lo, Treglown and Zhao [13] showed that $t_2(n, K_4^3 - e) = n/2 - 1$ for large n. Kühn and Osthus [24] showed that $t_2(n, K_4^3 - 2e) = (1 + o(1))n/4$, and Czygrinow, DeBiasio and Nagle [5] subsequently determined $t_2(n, K_4^3 - 2e)$ exactly for large n. More recently Han and Zhao [17] and independently Czygrinow [4] determined $t_1(n, K_4^3 - 2e)$ exactly for large n. Mycroft [31] determined $t_{k-1}(n, F)$ asymptotically for many k-partite k-graphs F (including complete k-partite k-graphs and loose cycles). Han, Zang and Zhao [14] determined $t_1(n, K)$ asymptotically for all complete 3-partite 3-graphs K.

A *k-uniform loose cycle* C_s^k is an s-vertex k-graph whose vertices can be ordered cyclically in such a way that the edges are sets of consecutive k vertices and every two consecutive edges share exactly one vertex. Note that by definition, s must be divisible by $k - 1$ and at least $3k - 3$.

Rödl and Ruciński [32, Problem 3.15] asked for the values of $t_2(n, C_s^3)$ for all $s \geq 6$. This was solved asymptotically by the aforementioned result of Mycroft [31], who determined $t_{k-1}(n, C_s^k)$ asymptotically for $k \geq 3$. In particular, it [31] is shown that $t_2(n, C_6^3) = (1/3 + o(1))n$. In this paper we determine the exact value of $t_2(n, C_6^3)$ for sufficiently large n, improving Mycroft's result.

Theorem 1.1 (Main result)**.** *Let $n \in 6\mathbb{Z}$ be sufficiently large. Suppose H is a 3-graph on n vertices with $\delta_2(H) \geq n/3$. Then H contains a C_6^3-factor.*

The minimum codegree condition in Theorem 1.1 is best possible by the following example. Let n be an integer divisible by 6 and $V = X \cup Y$ such that $|V| = n$, $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, $|X| = n/3 - 1$. Let H_0 be the 3-graph on V where $E(H_0)$ consists of all triples that intersect X. Clearly, $\delta_2(H_0) = |X| = n/3 - 1$. Moreover, observe that each copy of C_6^3 in H_0 must contain at least two vertices in X. Thus, H_0 does not contain a C_6^3-factor.

The approach we use in this paper is quite different from that used by Mycroft [31]. Indeed, the main tool in [31] is the *Hypergraph Blow-up Lemma* recently developed by Keevash [19]. In contrast, our proof uses the so-called *lattice-based absorbing method*, together with an *almost perfect tiling lemma* and an extremal case analysis. The lattice-based absorbing method is developed recently by the second author, which is a variant of the absorbing method initiated by Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [33]. Roughly speaking, given a k-graph H, the existence of the so-called *absorbing set* relies on the fact that $V(H)$ is closed (see definitions in Section 3). However, $V(H)$ is not closed in some applications. In this case, the lattice-based absorbing method provides a weaker absorbing set, which sometimes is sufficient by combining other information of H. Interestingly, in our problem, it is not clear whether $V(H)$ is closed (it will certainly be true if $\delta_2(H) \ge (1/2+o(1))n$). Nevertheless, the lattice-based absorbing method works well and gives the absorbing set.

In the forthcoming paper [15], the second author and Zhao determine $t_{k-1}(n, C_s^k)$ exactly, improving the asymptotical result of Mycroft. The reason for splitting the results into two papers is because the techniques used are different. In fact, since C_6^3 has a unique 3-partite realization, which is balanced (C_6^3 is a spanning subhypergraph of $K_3^3(2)$), the proof of our almost perfect tiling lemma is a standard application of the regularity method. In contrast, any other C_s^k allows an unbalanced k-partite realization. This makes the proof of the almost perfect tiling lemma more involved. In contrast, the proof of the absorbing lemma in [15] becomes easier.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

As a typical approach to obtain exact results, our proof of Theorem 1.1 consists of an extremal case and a nonextremal case. For $k \ge 3$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we say that a k-graph H is *ϵ-extremal* if there is a vertex set $S \subseteq V(H)$ of size $\lfloor \frac{k-1}{k} n \rfloor$ such that $e(H[S]) \le \epsilon n^k$.

Theorem 2.1 (Nonextremal case). *Let $\gamma > 0$ and let $n \in 6\mathbb{Z}$ be sufficiently large. Suppose H is an n-vertex 3-graph with $\delta_2(H) \ge n/3 - \gamma n$. If H is not 3γ-extremal, then H contains a C_6^3-factor.*

Theorem 2.2 (Extremal case). *Let $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ and let $n \in 6\mathbb{Z}$ be sufficiently large. Suppose H is an n-vertex 3-graph with $\delta_2(H) \ge n/3$. If H is ϵ-extremal, then H contains a C_6^3-factor.*

Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 2.1 and 2.2 immediately by choosing ϵ from Theorem 2.2.

As mentioned in Section 1, in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we use the lattice-based absorbing method. Here is our absorbing lemma.

Lemma 2.3 (Absorbing). *Given $0 < \gamma \ll 1$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large n. Suppose H is an n-vertex 3-graph such that $\delta_2(H) \ge (1/3 - \gamma)n$. Then there exists a vertex set $W \subseteq V(H)$ with $|W| \le \gamma n$ such that for any vertex set $U \subseteq V(H) \setminus W$ with $|U| \le \alpha n$ and $|U| \in 6\mathbb{Z}$, both $H[W]$ and $H[U \cup W]$ contain C_6^3-factors.*

By Lemma 2.3, the task is reduced to finding an almost C_6^3-factor in the 3-graph H after removing the absorbing set W. In fact, we prove a more general tiling result in the following lemma. For integers $k, h > 0$, let $K_k^k(h)$ be a complete k-partite k-graph with h vertices in each part.

Lemma 2.4 (Almost perfect tiling). *Let $\gamma, \alpha > 0$, $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let n be a sufficiently large integer. Suppose H is an n-vertex k-graph with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \ge \frac{n}{k} - \gamma n$. If H is not 2γ-extremal, then H contains a $K_k^k(h)$-tiling that leaves at most $2\alpha n$ vertices uncovered.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Apply Lemma 2.3 and get a vertex set W of order at most γn with the absorbing property. Let $V' = V(H) \setminus W$ and $H' = H[V']$. Note that $\delta_2(H') \ge n/3 - \gamma n - |W| \ge (1/3 - 2\gamma)|V'|$. We claim that H' is not 2γ-extremal. Indeed, suppose H' is 2γ-extremal, i.e., there exists a vertex set $S \subseteq V'$ of size $2|V'|/3$ such that $e_{H'}(S) \le 2\gamma|V'|^3$. Then by adding arbitrary $2(n-|V'|)/3 \le \gamma n$ vertices of $H \setminus S$ to S, we get a set $S' \subseteq V(H)$ of order $2n/3$ with $e_H(S') \le 2\gamma|V'|^3 + \gamma n \cdot n^2 \le 3\gamma n^3$. This means that H is 3γ-extremal, a contradiction. So we can apply Lemma 2.4 on H' with γ, $\alpha/2$, $k=3$ and $h=2$. This gives a $K_3^3(2)$-tiling of H', which can be treated as a C_6^3-tiling of H', that leaves at most αn vertices uncovered. These uncovered vertices can be absorbed by W and thus we get a C_6^3-factor of H. $\square$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We give an outline of the proof of Lemma 2.3 and then prove Lemma 2.3 in Section 3. We prove Lemma 2.4 in Section 4 and the extremal case, Theorem 2.2 in Section 5, respectively. We also give some concluding remarks at the end of the note.

Notations. Throughout the rest of the paper, we write C_6 instead of C_6^3 for short. For a k-graph H and $A \subseteq V(H)$, we write $e_H(A)$ for $e(H[A])$, the number of edges in H induced on A. Moreover, for not necessarily distinct sets $A_1, \dots, A_k$, we write $e_H(A_1, \dots, A_k)$ as the number of edges $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ in H such that $v_i \in A_i$ for all $i \in [k]$. Furthermore, for vertices u, v and a vertex set S, let $\overline{\deg}_H(v, S) = \binom{|S\setminus\{v\}|}{2} - \deg_H(v, S)$ and $\overline{\deg}_H(uv, S) = |S \setminus \{u, v\}| - \deg_H(uv, S)$. The subscript is often omitted if it is clear from the context. Throughout this paper, $x \ll y$ means that for any $y \ge 0$ there exists $x_0 \ge 0$ such that for any $x \le x_0$ the following statement holds. Similar notations with more constants are defined similarly.

3. PROOF OF THE ABSORBING LEMMA

3.1. **Preliminary and an outline of the proof.**

Following the previous work by the absorbing method, we use the so-called reachability argument. More precisely, for vertices x, y in an n-vertex 3-graph $H = (V, E)$ and a set $S \subseteq V \setminus \{x, y\}$, we call S a *reachable* $|S|$*-set* for x and y if both $H[\{x\} \cup S]$ and $H[\{y\} \cup S]$ contain C_6-factors. We say two vertices x, y are (β, i)*-reachable in* H if there are at least βn^{6i-1} reachable $(6i-1)$-sets for x and y in H. We say a vertex set U is (β, i)*-closed in* H if every two vertices of U are (β, i)-reachable. For $x \in V$, let $\tilde{N}_{\beta,i}(x)$ be the set of vertices that are (β, i)-reachable to x.

We use some notations in [20]. For an integer $r \ge 1$, let $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \dots, V_r\}$ be a partition of V. The *index vector* $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(S) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ of a subset $S \subset V$ with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ is the vector whose coordinates are the sizes of the intersections of S with each part of $\mathcal{P}$, i.e., $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(S)_{V_i} = |S \cap V_i|$ for $i \in [r]$. We call a vector $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ an s*-vector* if all its coordinates are nonnegative and their sum equals s. Given $\mu > 0$, a 3-vector $\mathbf{v}$ is called a μ*-robust edge-vector* if at least μn^3 edges $e \in E$ satisfy $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(e) = \mathbf{v}$. A 6-vector $\mathbf{v}$ is called a μ*-robust* C_6*-vector* if there are at least μn^6 copies K of C_6 in H satisfy $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(V(K)) = \mathbf{v}$. Let $I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$ be the set of all μ-robust edge-vectors and let $I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$ be the set of all μ-robust C_6-vectors. For $j \in [r]$, let $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ be the j-th *unit vector*, namely, $\mathbf{u}_j$ has 1 on the j-th coordinate and 0 on other coordinates. A *transferral* is the a vector of form $\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j$ for some distinct $i, j \in [r]$. Let $L^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$ be the lattice (i.e., the additive subgroup) generated by $I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$ (though $L^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$ will not be explicitly used in the proof).

The proof of Lemma 2.3 proceeds as follows. Given an n-vertex 3-graph $H = (V, E)$ with $\delta_2(H) \ge (1/3 - \gamma)n$. We first show that (Lemma 3.4) there exists some β, i (independent of n) such that V admits a partition $\mathcal{P}$ of at most three parts, such that each part is (β, i)-closed.

Next we show that (Lemma 3.5) if $L^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$ contains a transferral $\mathbf{u}_i-\mathbf{u}_j$, then $V_i\cup V_j$ is closed. In this case we combine these two parts and thus reduce the number of parts in the partition. We repeatedly merge parts until there is no transferral in $\mathcal{P}$ (let us still call the new partition obtained from merging $\mathcal{P}$). Then we show that (Lemma 3.6) if $\mathcal{P}$ contains no transferral, then all 6-vectors with all coordinates even must be present in $I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$. Although by our assumption, there is no robust C_6-vector with odd coordinates in $\mathcal{P}$ (this together with some vector above will give a transferral), we can show that (Lemma 3.7) there exists one copy of C_6 with odd coordinates, which turns out to be sufficient for the absorption (see the proof of Lemma 2.3).

As mentioned in Section 1, in most of existing applications of the absorbing method, it is shown that $V(H)$ is (β', i')-closed for some $\beta'>0$ and integer i', which implies the absorbing lemma easily. It is interesting to know whether this holds for our problem.

3.2. **Lemmas.** We use the following result from [30].

Proposition 3.1 ([30], Proposition 2.1)**.** *For $\beta,\epsilon>0$ and integers $i_0'>i_0$, there exists $\beta'>0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large n. Given an n-vertex 3-graph H and a vertex $x\in V(H)$ with $|\tilde{N}_{\beta,i_0}(x)|\ge \epsilon n$, then $\tilde{N}_{\beta,i_0}(x)\subseteq \tilde{N}_{\beta',i_0'}(x)$. In other words, if $x,y\in V(H)$ are (β,i_0)-reachable in H and $|\tilde{N}_{\beta,i_0}(x)|\ge\epsilon n$, then x,y are (β',i_0')-reachable in H.*

We show that for every vertex x, $|\tilde{N}_{\beta,1}(x)|$ is large in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Fix $0<\beta\ll b$ and let n be sufficiently large. Suppose $H=(V,E)$ is a 3-graph on n vertices satisfying $\delta_2(H)\ge bn$. For any $x\in V(H)$, $|\tilde{N}_{\beta,1}(x)|\ge (b-\sqrt[3]{\beta})n$.*

Proof. Fix a vertex $x\in V$, we claim that for any vertex $x'\neq x$, $x'\in\tilde{N}_{\beta,1}(x)$ if $|N(x)\cap N(x')|\ge\sqrt{\beta}n^2$. Indeed, let $\{y,z\}$ be a pair in $N(x)\cap N(x')$. Pick a vertex $u\in V\setminus\{x,x',y,z\}$ and pick vertices $v\in N(y,u)$ and $w\in N(z,u)$, distinct from the existing vertices. Note that both $\{x,y,z,u,v,w\}$ and $\{x',y,z,u,v,w\}$ span copies of C_6 in H and thus $\{y,z,u,v,w\}$ is a reachable 5-set for x and x'. Since the number of choices for the reachable 5-sets is at least

$$\frac{1}{5!}\sqrt{\beta}n^2(n-4)(bn-5)(bn-6)\ge\beta n^5,$$

because $\beta\ll b$ and n is large enough, we have that $x'\in\tilde{N}_{\beta,1}(x)$.

Note that $\delta_2(H)\ge bn$ implies that $\delta_1(H)\ge b\binom{n-1}{2}$. By double counting, we have

$$|N(x)|\delta_2(H)\le\sum_{S\in N(x)}\deg(S)<|\tilde{N}_{\beta,1}(x)|\cdot|N(x)|+n\cdot\sqrt{\beta}n^2.$$

Thus, $|\tilde{N}_{\beta,1}(x)|>\delta_2(H)-\frac{\sqrt{\beta}n^3}{|N(x)|}\ge(b-\sqrt[3]{\beta})n$ as $|N(x)|\ge\delta_1(H)\ge b\binom{n-1}{2}$. □

Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 give the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *For $0<\beta\ll b$ and integers $i_0'>i_0$, there exists $\beta'>0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large n. Given an n-vertex 3-graph H with $\delta_2(H)\ge bn$. If $x,y\in V(H)$ are (β,i_0)-reachable in H, then x,y are (β',i_0')-reachable in H.*

The following lemma gives a useful partition of $V(H)$. For its proof (in a more general form), see [15] (similar proofs can be found in [11, 13, 14]).

Lemma 3.4. *Given $0 < \gamma \ll 1$, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large n. Let H be an n-vertex 3-graph with $\delta_2(H) \ge (\frac{1}{3} - \gamma)n$. Then there is a partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $V(H)$ into $V_1, \dots, V_r$ with $r \le 3$ such that for any $i \in [r]$, $|V_i| \ge (\frac{1}{3} - 2\gamma)n$ and V_i is $(\beta, 4)$-closed in H.*

We apply Lemma 3.4 on H and get the partition $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \dots, V_r\}$ such that each part is closed. Next lemma says that if $I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$ contains two 6-vectors whose difference equals a transferral $\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j$ for distinct $i, j \in [r]$, then $V_i \cup V_j$ is closed. Note that our assumption here is stronger than assuming that $L^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$ contains a transferral.

Lemma 3.5. *Given $\beta, \mu, b, r, c > 0$, there exists $\beta' > 0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large n. Let H be an n-vertex 3-graph with $\delta_2(H) \ge bn$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \dots, V_r\}$ be a partition of $V(H)$ such that for any $i \in [r]$, V_i is (β, c)-closed in H. For distinct $i, j \in [r]$, $V_i \cup V_j$ is $(\beta', 7c + 1)$-closed in H if both 6-vectors $(b_1, \dots, b_r), (b_1, \dots, b_r) + \mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $i = 1$ and $j = 2$. It suffices to show that every $x \in V_1$ and $y \in V_2$ are $(\beta'', 7c + 1)$-reachable for some $\beta'' > 0$. Indeed, since both V_1 and V_2 are (β, c)-closed in H. By Corollary 3.3, there exists β''' such that they are $(\beta''', 7c+1)$-closed in H. Then $V_1 \cup V_2$ is $(\beta', 7c + 1)$-closed in H by letting $\beta' = \min\{\beta'', \beta'''\}$.

First, we pick a copy F_1 of C_6 with index vector $(b_1, \dots, b_r)$ and a copy F_2 of C_6 of index vector $(b_1 + 1, b_2 - 1, \dots, b_r)$ such that F_1 and F_2 are vertex disjoint and do not contain x or y. By the assumption, there are at least $\mu n^6 - 8n^5 \ge \mu n^6/2$ choices for each of F_1 and F_2. Let $x' \in V(F_2) \cap V_1$ and $y' \in V(F_1) \cap V_2$. We name the other vertices as $V(F_1) \setminus \{y'\} = \{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$ and $V(F_2) \setminus \{x'\} = \{u_1, \dots, u_5\}$ such that for all $i \in [5]$, u_i and v_i belong to the same part of $\mathcal{P}$, and thus they are (β, c)-reachable. Next, we pick reachable $(6c - 1)$-sets S_x for x and x', S_y for y and y', and for $i \in [5]$, we pick reachable $(6c - 1)$-sets S_i for u_i and v_i such that all these $(6c-1)$-sets are vertex disjoint and they contain no vertex in $\{x, y\} \cup V(F_1) \cup V(F_2)$. Note that $S = V(F_1) \cup V(F_2) \cup (S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_5) \cup S_x \cup S_y$ is a reachable $(42c + 5)$-set for x and y. Indeed, $H[S \cup \{x\}]$ has a C_6-factor because by definition, F_2 is a copy of C_6 and, all of $H[S_x \cup \{x\}]$, $H[S_y \cup \{y'\}]$ and $H[S_i \cup \{v_i\}]$, $i \in [5]$ have C_6-factors. Also, $H[S \cup \{y\}]$ has a C_6-factor because by definition, F_1 is a copy of C_6 and, all of $H[S_x \cup \{x'\}]$, $H[S_y \cup \{y\}]$ and $H[S_i \cup \{u_i\}]$, $i \in [5]$ have C_6-factors.

Note that for each of $S_1, \dots, S_5, S_x, S_y$, there are at least $\beta n^{6c-1} - (42c+5)n^{6c-2} \ge \beta n^{6c-1}/2$ choices for it. In total, there are at least

$$\frac{1}{(42c+5)!} \left(\frac{\mu n^6}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\beta n^{6c-1}}{2}\right)^7 = \beta'' n^{42c+5},$$

choices for S, where $\beta'' = \frac{1}{512(42c+5)!}\mu^2\beta^7$. So x and y are $(\beta'', 7c + 1)$-reachable. □

Our next lemma is one of the key steps in proving Lemma 2.3. Its proof is somehow long and we postpone it to the end of this section.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $r = 2, 3$. Suppose*

$$0 < 1/n \ll \mu \ll \gamma \ll 1$$

and let H be an n-vertex 3-graph with $\delta_2(H) \geq (1/3-\gamma)n$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \dots, V_r\}$ be a partition of $V(H)$ with $|V_i| \geq n/3 - 2\gamma n$ for $i \in [r]$. Then one of the following holds.

- (i) *There exist a 6-vector $\mathbf{v}$ and distinct $i, j \in [r]$ such that $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$.*
- (ii) *All 6-vectors with all coordinates even are in $I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$. Moreover, if $r = 2$, then $(1,2), (2,1) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$.*

The following lemma extends [31, Proposition 8.2] – it works under a slightly lower codegree and a slightly more unbalanced bipartition. The proof is similar to the one of [31, Proposition 8.2], except that we use Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.7. *Given $0 < \gamma \ll 1$, the following holds for sufficiently large n. Let $H = (V, E)$ be an n-vertex 3-graph with $\delta_2(H) \geq (\frac{1}{3} - \gamma)n$. Suppose $A \cup B$ is a bipartition of V such that $|A|, |B| \geq n/3 - 2\gamma n$, then there is a copy of C_6 that intersects A at an odd number of vertices.*

Proof. Let $0 < 1/n \ll \mu \ll \gamma$. Suppose for a contradiction that no such copy of C_6 exists. Without loss of generality, assume that $|A| \leq n/2$. Note that $(2,1) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$ by Lemma 3.6 with $r = 2$. Indeed, otherwise, Lemma 3.6(i) holds and exactly one of the two robust C_6-vectors has odd coordinates, implying the existence of a desired copy of the lemma, a contradiction.

Color the edges of the complete graph $K[A]$ as follows. In fact, we color xy red if there are at least 3 vertices $w \in B$ with $\{x, y, w\} \in E$, and we color xy blue if there are at least 6 vertices $w \in A$ such that $\{x, y, w\} \in E$. So every edge xy receives at least one color. Since any pair xy lies in at most n edges, we find that there are at least $(\mu n^3 - 2n^2)/n \geq \mu n^2/2$ red edges of $K[A]$.

Observe that no triangle in $K[A]$ has three red edges. Indeed, if xyz is such a triangle then we may choose distinct $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in B$ such that $\{x, y, w_1\}, \{x, z, w_2\}, \{y, z, w_3\}$ are each edges of H, thus forming a copy of C_6 with index vector $(3,3)$. Similarly, no triangle in $K[A]$ has two blue edges and one red edge, as then we can find a copy of C_6 with index vector $(5,1)$. Now, choose any vertex $x \in A$ which lies in a red edge, and define $A_1 = \{y \in A \setminus \{x\} : xy \text{ is red}\}$ and $A_2 := A \setminus A_1$. So A_1 and A_2 partition A, and by our previous observations no edge of $K[A_1]$ or $K[A_2]$ is red. So all edges of $K[A_1]$ and $K[A_2]$ are blue and not red; it follows that every edge yz with $y \in A_1$ and $z \in A_2$ is red and not blue (so in fact every edge of $K[A]$ has only one color). Moreover, the red edges of $K[A]$ form a complete bipartite subgraph of $K[A]$ with vertex classes A_1 and A_2. Since the number of red edges of $K[A]$ is at least $\mu n^2/2$ it follows that $|A_1|, |A_2| \geq \mu n/2$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $|A_1| \leq |A_2|$, so $|A_1| \leq n/4$.

Let $y, z \in A_1$. There are at least $\delta_2(H) \geq (\frac{1}{3} - \gamma)n$ vertices w such that $\{w, y, z\} \in E$. At most $n/4$ of these vertices w lie in A_1, and since yz is not red at most 2 of these vertices w lie in B. So there are at least μn vertices $w \in A_2$ such that $\{w, y, z\} \in E$; summing over all pairs $y, z \in A_1$ we find that there are at least $\binom{|A_1|}{2}\mu n \geq \mu^3 n^3/9$ edges of H with two vertices in A_1 and one vertex in A_2. Since there are $|A_1||A_2| \leq n^2$ pairs yz with $y \in A_1$ and $z \in A_2$, we deduce that some such pair yz lies in at least $\mu^3 n/9 \geq 6$ such edges of H. But then yz is blue, a contradiction. □

3.3. **Proof of Lemma 2.3.** We call an m-set A an *absorbing m-set* for a 6-set S if $A \cap S = \emptyset$ and both $H[A]$ and $H[A \cup S]$ contain C_6-factors. Denote by $\mathcal{A}^m(S)$ the set of all absorbing m-sets for S. Now we are ready to prove Lemma 2.3.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Suppose $0 < 1/n \ll \{\beta, \mu\} \ll \gamma, 1/t$. Suppose H is an n-vertex 3-graph with $\delta_2(H) \ge (\frac{1}{3} - \gamma)n$. Applying Lemma 3.4 on H gives a partition $\mathcal{P}'$ of $V(H)$ into $V_1', \dots, V_{r'}'$ with $r' \le 3$ such that for any $i \in [r']$, $|V_i'| \ge (\frac{1}{3} - 2\gamma)n$ and V_i' is $(\beta', 4)$-closed in H for some $\beta \ll \beta' \ll \gamma$. By Lemma 3.5, we combine the parts V_i', V_j' if there exist 6-vector $\mathbf{v}$ and distinct $i, j \in [r']$ such that $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}', C}(H)$. We greedily combine the parts (at most twice) until there is no such μ-robust 6-vectors $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \dots, V_r\}$ be the resulting partition with $r \le 3$. By Corollary 3.3, we may assume that for any $i \in [r]$, V_i is (β, t)-closed in H for some $t \le 204$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.6, we may assume that all 6-vectors with all coordinates even are in $I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}, C}(H)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \emptyset$ if $r = 1$. If $r = 2$, then we apply Lemma 3.7 on $\{V_1, V_2\}$ and get a copy F_0 of C_6 that intersects both parts of $\mathcal{P}$ at an odd number of vertices. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{F_0\}$. If $r = 3$, then we apply Lemma 3.7 on $\{V_1, V_2 \cup V_3\}$ and get a copy F_1 of C_6 that intersects V_1 and V_i at an odd number of vertices, where $\{i, j\} = \{2, 3\}$. Then we apply Lemma 3.7 on $\{V_j \setminus V(F_1), (V_1 \cup V_i) \setminus V(F_1)\}$ and get a copy F_2 of C_6 that intersects V_j and one of V_1 and V_i at an odd number of vertices. So $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(F_1) \pmod 2$ and $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(F_2) \pmod 2$ are two distinct vectors from $(1,1,0)$, $(0,1,1)$ and $(1,0,1)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{F_1, F_2\}$.

Let $m = 36t$, $\gamma_1 = \mu\beta^6/128$ and $\alpha = \gamma_1^2$.

Claim 3.8. *Any 6-set S with all coordinates even satisfies that $|\mathcal{A}^m(S)| \ge \gamma_1 n^m$.*

Proof. For a 6-set $S = \{y_1, \dots, y_6\}$ with all coordinates even, we construct absorbing m-sets for S as follows. We first fix a copy F of C_6 on $\{x_1, \dots, x_6\}$ in H such that $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(F) = \mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(S)$ and $F \cap S = \emptyset$, for which we have at least $\mu n^6 - 6n^5 > \mu n^6/2$ choices. Without loss of generality, we may assume that for all $i \in [6]$, x_i, y_i are in the same part of $\mathcal{P}$. Since x_i and y_i are (β, t)-reachable, there are at least βn^{6t-1} $(6t-1)$-sets T_i such that both $H[T_i \cup \{x_i\}]$ and $H[T_i \cup \{y_i\}]$ have C_6-factors. We pick disjoint reachable $(6t-1)$-sets for each x_i, y_i, $i \in [6]$ greedily, while avoiding the existing vertices. Since the number of existing vertices is at most m, there are at least $\beta n^{6t-1}/2$ choices for each such $(6t-1)$-set. Note that each $F \cup T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_6$ is an absorbing set for S. Indeed, first, it contains a C_6-factor because each $T_i \cup \{x_i\}$ for $i \in [6]$ spans t disjoint copies of C_6. Second, $H[F \cup T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_6 \cup S]$ also contains a C_6-factor because F is a copy of C_6 and each $T_i \cup \{y_i\}$ for $i \in [6]$ spans t disjoint copies of C_6. So we get at least $\gamma_1 n^m$ absorbing m-sets for S. □

Now we build a family $\mathcal{F}_1$ of m-sets by probabilistic arguments. Choose a family $\mathcal{F}$ of m-sets in H by selecting each of the $\binom{n}{m}$ possible m-sets independently with probability $p = \gamma_1 n^{1-m}$. Then by Chernoff's bound, with probability $1 - o(1)$ as $n \to \infty$, the family $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the following properties:

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le 2p\binom{n}{m} \le \gamma_1 n \text{ and } |\mathcal{A}^m(S) \cap \mathcal{F}| \ge \frac{p|\mathcal{A}^m(S)|}{2} \ge \frac{\gamma_1^2 n}{2}, \tag{3.1}$$

for all 6-sets S with all coordinates even. Furthermore, the expected number of pairs of m-sets in $\mathcal{F}$ that are intersecting is at most

$$\binom{n}{m} \cdot m \cdot \binom{n}{m-1} \cdot p^2 \le \frac{\gamma_1^2 n}{8}.$$

Thus, by using Markov's inequality, we derive that with probability at least 1/2,

$$\mathcal{F} \text{ contains at most } \frac{\gamma_1^2 n}{4} \text{ intersecting pairs of } m\text{-sets.} \tag{3.2}$$

Hence, there exists a family $\mathcal{F}$ with the properties in (3.1) and (3.2). By deleting one member of each intersecting pair, the m-sets intersecting $V(\mathcal{F}_0)$, and the m-sets that are not absorbing sets for any 6-set $S \subseteq V$, we get a subfamily $\mathcal{F}_1$ consisting of pairwise disjoint m-sets. Let $W = V(\mathcal{F}_1) \cup V(\mathcal{F}_0)$ and thus $|W| \le m|\mathcal{F}| + 12 < m\gamma_1 n + 12 < \gamma n$. Since every m-set in $\mathcal{F}_1$ is an absorbing m-set for some 6-set S and every element of $\mathcal{F}_0$ is a copy of C_6, $H[W]$ has a C_6-factor. For any 6-set S with all coordinates even, by (3.1) and (3.2) above we have

$$|\mathcal{A}^m(S) \cap \mathcal{F}_1| \ge \frac{\gamma_1^2 n}{2} - \frac{\gamma_1^2 n}{4} - |V(\mathcal{F}_0)| \ge \frac{\gamma_1^2 n}{4} - 12. \tag{3.3}$$

Now fix any set $U \subseteq V \setminus W$ of size $|U| \le \alpha n$ and $|U| \in 6\mathbb{Z}$. We claim that there exists $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0$ such that $U \cup V(\mathcal{F}')$ can be partitioned into at most $\alpha n/6 + 2$ 6-sets with all coordinates even. Indeed, first observe that a set U' with $|U'| \in 6\mathbb{Z}$ can be partitioned into 6-sets with all coordinates even if and only if all coordinates of $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(U')$ are even. If $r = 1$, then $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(U) = (|U|)$ is even. If $r = 2$, then either $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(U)$ or $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(U \cup V(F_0))$ has all coordinates even. Otherwise $r = 3$. If not all coordinates of $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(U)$ are even, then $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(U) \pmod 2 \in \{(1,1,0),(1,0,1),(0,1,1)\}$. Thus, exactly one of $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(U \cup V(F_1))$, $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(U \cup V(F_2))$ and $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(U \cup V(F_1 \cup F_2))$ have all coordinates even. So the claim holds. Since each 6-set has all coordinates even, by (3.3) and $\frac{\alpha n}{6} + 2 \le \frac{\gamma_1^2 n}{4} - 12$, they can be greedily absorbed by m-sets in $\mathcal{F}_1$. Hence, $H[U \cup W]$ contains a C_6-factor. $\square$

3.4. **Proof of Lemma 3.6.** We first collect some useful simple facts on graphs.

Fact 3.9. *Fix $0 < \gamma, \gamma' < 1$. and let G be a graph on V.*

(i) If $|E(G)| \ge (1-\gamma)\binom{|V|}{2}$, then the number of triangles in G is at least $(1-3\gamma)\binom{|V|}{3}$.

(ii) If $G = (V_1, V_2, V_3, E)$ is tripartite and we have $e(V_i, V_j) \ge (1-\gamma)|V_i||V_j|$ for distinct $i, j \in [3]$, then the number of triangles in G is at least $(1-3\gamma)|V_1||V_2||V_3|$.

(iii) Suppose $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ for some $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ and $|V_1| \ge \gamma'/\gamma$. If $e(V_1) \ge (1-\gamma)\binom{|V_1|}{2}$ and $e(V_1, V_2) \ge \gamma'|V_1||V_2|$, then the number of triangles in G with two vertices in V_1 and one vertex in V_2 is at least $(\gamma'^2 - 2\gamma)\binom{|V_1|}{2}|V_2|$.

Proof. We only prove (iii) because the first two are immediate by counting the triples containing non-edges. Since $e(V_1, V_2) \ge \gamma'|V_1||V_2|$, the number of copies of P_3 centred at some vertex in V_2 is at least

$$\sum_{v \in V_2} \binom{\deg(v)}{2} \ge \frac{1}{|V_2|}\frac{(\gamma'|V_1||V_2|)^2}{2} - \frac{\gamma'|V_1||V_2|}{2} \ge (\gamma'^2 - \gamma)\binom{|V_1|}{2}|V_2|,$$

where we used that $|V_1| \ge \gamma'/\gamma$. Note that among these copies of P_3, at most $\gamma\binom{|V_1|}{2}|V_2|$ of them miss the edge in V_1, and thus the result follows. $\square$

We will also use the following simple fact in the proof of Lemma 3.6.

Fact 3.10. *Given an integer $r \ge 1$ and $\mu \ll \delta, 1/r$, suppose H is an n-vertex 3-graph with $\delta_2(H) \ge \delta n$ where n is large enough. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \dots, V_r\}$ be a partition of $V(H)$ with $|V_i| \ge \delta n$. For every 2-vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, there exists $i \in [r]$ such that $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}_i \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$.*

Proof. Fix any 2-vector $\mathbf{v}$, the number of pairs p in $V(H)$ with respect to this index vector is at least $\binom{\delta n}{2}$. Thus the number of hyperedges in H containing these pairs is at least $\frac{1}{3}\delta n\binom{\delta n}{2} \geq \binom{\delta n}{3}$. Since $\mu \ll \delta$, we have $r\mu n^3 < \binom{\delta n}{3}$. By averaging, there must be an $i \in [r]$ such that at least μn^3 edges $e \in E(H)$ satisfy $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(e) = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}_i$, which shows that $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}_i \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$. □

Here we state a simple counting result and omit its proof.

Proposition 3.11. *For $1/n \ll \mu$, every 3-graph H on n vertices with at least μn^3 edges contains at least $\mu^8 n^6/2$ copies of $K^3_3(2)$.*

Given a partition $\mathcal{P}$, $0 < \mu < 1$ and a μ-robust edge-vector $\mathbf{i}$, by Proposition 3.11, the edges with index vector $\mathbf{i}$ form at least $\mu' n^6$ copies of C_6 with index vector $2\mathbf{i}$, where $\mu' = \mu^8/2$, i.e., $2\mathbf{i} \in I^{\mu'}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$. For example, given $r = 2$ and $(1,2) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$, then $(2,4) \in I^{\mu'}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$.

Proof of Lemma 3.6. Let $0 < 1/n \ll \mu \ll \eta \ll \gamma \ll 1$. Note that by Proposition 3.11 and $\mu \ll \eta$, instead of assuming that (ii) does not hold, we may assume that there is some 3-vector $\mathbf{v}$ such that $\mathbf{v} \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$ – otherwise (ii) holds. Then it suffices to show that either (i) holds, or $2\mathbf{v} \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$. (The 'moreover' part of (ii) will be explained during the proof.)

We will use the following notion in the proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{v} \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$, where $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_k$ is a 3-vector for some multi-set $\{i,j,k\}$, $i,j,k \in [r]$. Let $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j$ be a 2-vector. Then, for each pair S of vertices such that $\mathbf{i}_{\mathcal{P}}(S) = \mathbf{v}'$, we call it *bad* if $\deg(S, V_k) \geq \gamma n$ (otherwise *good*). Thus, since $\eta \ll \gamma$ and $|V_1|, |V_2|, |V_3| \geq n/3 - 2\gamma n$, the number of bad pairs with index vector $\mathbf{v}'$ is at most

$$3\eta n^3/(\gamma n) = \frac{3\eta}{\gamma} n^2 \leq \gamma \mathrm{vol}(V_i, V_j),$$

where $\mathrm{vol}(V_i,V_j)$ stands for the number of pairs uv such that $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$, i.e. $\mathrm{vol}(V_i,V_j) = |V_i||V_j|$ if $i \neq j$, $\mathrm{vol}(V_i,V_j) = \binom{|V_i|}{2}$ if $i = j$. Note that since $\mathbf{v}'$ may not be unique, so we may have defined more than one 'goodness'. In each (sub)case of the proof, we will consider the triples with index vector $\mathbf{v}$ such that all three pairs in the triple are good (possibly with further restrictions).

Case 1. $r = 2$. By symmetry, we only need to deal with two subcases, $(3,0) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$ or $(2,1) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$.

First assume that $(3,0) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$. Note that by Fact 3.10, $(3,0) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$ implies that $(2,1) \in I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$. Thus $(4,2) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$ by Proposition 3.11. Also, note that the number of bad pairs in V_1 is at most $\gamma\binom{|V_1|}{2}$. By Fact 3.9(i), there are at least $(1-3\gamma)\binom{|V_1|}{3}$ triples in $\binom{V_1}{3}$ of which all pairs are good. For each such triple, we pick distinct neighbors of the three pairs in V_2 and get a copy of C_6 with index vector $(3,3)$. There are at least

$$\frac{1}{6!}(1-3\gamma)\binom{|V_1|}{3}(\delta_2(H) - \gamma n)(\delta_2(H) - \gamma n - 1)(\delta_2(H) - \gamma n - 2) \geq \mu n^6 \tag{3.4}$$

such copies of C_6 with index vector $(3,3)$ by $\mu \ll 1$ and $\delta_2(H) \geq n/3 - \gamma n$. This means that $(3,3) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$. Since $(4,2), (3,3) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$, (i) holds.

Now assume $(2,1) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$. By Fact 3.10, $(2,1) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$ implies that $(1,2) \in I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$. Thus $(2,4) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$ by Proposition 3.11. Note that the number of bad pairs in $V_1 \times V_2$ is at most $\gamma|V_1||V_2|$ and the number of bad pairs in V_1 is at most $\gamma\binom{|V_1|}{2}$. By applying Fact 3.9(iii) with

$\gamma' = 1-\gamma$, we see that the number of triples with index vector $(2,1)$ such that all pairs of the triple are good is at least $(1-4\gamma)\binom{|V_1|}{2}|V_2|$. For each such triple, we pick distinct neighbors in V_2 of the pairs in $V_1 \times V_2$ and pick a neighbor in V_1 of the pair in V_1 and get a copy of C_6 with index vector $(3,3)$. There are at least

$$\frac{1}{6!}(1-4\gamma)\binom{|V_1|}{2}|V_2| \cdot (\delta_2(H)-\gamma n)\,(\delta_2(H)-\gamma n-1)\,(\delta_2(H)-\gamma n) \geq \mu n^6$$

such copies of C_6 with index vector $(3,3)$. This means that $(3,3) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$. Together with $(2,4) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$, (i) holds. (By symmetry, this shows the 'moreover' part of the lemma.)

Case 2. $r=3$. By symmetry, we only need to deal with three subcases, $(3,0,0) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$, $(2,1,0) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$ or $(1,1,1) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$.

First assume that $(2,1,0) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$. Note that the number of bad pairs in V_1 is at most $\gamma\binom{|V_1|}{2}$ and the number of bad pairs in $V_1 \times V_2$ is at most $\gamma|V_1||V_2|$. Also note that each good pair $S \in V_1 \times V_2$ satisfies that $\deg(S, V_2 \cup V_3) \geq \delta_2(H) - \gamma n \geq (1/3 - 2\gamma)n$, which implies that $\deg(S, V_2) \geq n/7$ or $\deg(S, V_3) \geq n/7$. Assume that there are at least $\frac{1-\gamma}{2}|V_1||V_2| \geq |V_1||V_2|/3$ good pairs S in $V_1 \times V_2$ such that $\deg(S, V_2) \geq n/7$ (the other case will be quite similar). This implies

$$e(V_1, V_2, V_2) \geq \frac{1}{2}\frac{|V_1||V_2|}{3}\frac{n}{7} \geq \eta n^3$$

by $\eta \ll 1$. Thus, $(1,2,0) \in I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$ and $(2,4,0) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$ by Proposition 3.11.

By applying Fact 3.9(iii) with $\gamma' = 1/3$, the number of triples $\{x,y,z\}$ with $x,y \in V_1$, $z \in V_2$ such that xy is good, $\deg(xz, V_2) \geq n/7$ and $\deg(yz, V_2) \geq n/7$ is at least $(1/9 - 2\gamma)\binom{|V_1|}{2}|V_2|$. For each such triple, we pick distinct neighbors of xz, yz in V_2 and pick a neighbor of xy in $V_1 \cup V_3$ and get a copy of C_6 with index vector $(3,3,0)$ or $(2,3,1)$. There are at least

$$\frac{1}{6!}(1/9-2\gamma)\binom{|V_1|}{2}|V_2| \cdot \frac{n}{7}\left(\frac{n}{7}-1\right)(\delta_2(H)-\gamma n) \geq 2\mu n^6$$

such copies of C_6 with index vector $(3,3,0)$ or $(2,3,1)$. This means that $(3,3,0)$ or $(2,3,1) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$. Together with $(2,4,0) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$, (i) holds.

Second assume that $(3,0,0) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$. By the last subcase, we may assume that both $(2,1,0) \in I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$ and $(2,0,1) \in I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$. Then we have $(4,2,0), (4,0,2) \in I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$ by Proposition 3.11. We treat $V_2 \cup V_3$ as one part and use the proof of the first part in Case 1. Note that we can strengthen the consequence of (3.4) to $4\mu n^6$, which allows us to conclude that at least one of $(3,3,0), (3,2,1), (3,1,2), (3,0,3)$ is in $I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$. If $(3,3,0)$ or $(3,2,1)$ is in $I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$, then it together with $(4,2,0)$ implies (i). If $(3,1,2)$ or $(3,0,3)$ is in $I^{\mu}_{\mathcal{P},C}(H)$, then it together with $(4,0,2)$ implies (i). So we are done.

Finally, assume that $(1,1,1) \notin I^{\eta}_{\mathcal{P}}(H)$. Note that for distinct $i,j \in [3]$, the number of bad pairs in $V_i \times V_j$ is at most $\gamma|V_i||V_j|$. By Fact 3.9(ii), the number of triples with index vector $(1,1,1)$ such that all pairs are good is at least $(1-3\gamma)|V_1||V_2||V_3|$. For each such triple, we pick distinct neighbors in $V_i \cup V_j$ of the pair in $V_i \times V_j$ for all distinct $i,j \in [3]$ and get a copy of C_6. There are at least

$$\frac{1}{6!}(1-3\gamma)|V_1||V_2||V_3| \cdot (\delta_2(H)-\gamma n)\,(\delta_2(H)-\gamma n-1)\,(\delta_2(H)-\gamma n-2) \geq 7\eta n^6$$

such copies of C_6. Observe that in each such copy of C_6, the triple has index vector $(1,1,1)$ and the three new vertices cannot fall into the same part of $\mathcal{P}$. So the index vector of such copy of C_6 is either $(2,2,2)$ or a permutation of $(3,2,1)$. We first assume that there are at least ηn^6 such copies of C_6 with index vector $(3,2,1)$. Observe that each such copy of C_6 with index vector $(3,2,1)$ contains an edge of index vector $(2,1,0)$ (in fact, the index vectors of the three edges must be exactly $(2,1,0)$, $(2,0,1)$ and $(0,2,1)$). Thus, we see at least $\eta n^6/n^3 = \eta n^3$ edges of index vector $(2,1,0)$, i.e., $(2,1,0) \in I_{\mathcal{P}}^{\eta}(H)$. By Proposition 3.11, this implies that $(4,2,0) \in I_{\mathcal{P},C}^{\mu}(H)$. Together with $(3,2,1) \in I_{\mathcal{P},C}^{\mu}(H)$, (i) holds. By symmetry, the only case left is that $(2,2,2) = 2(1,1,1) \in I_{\mathcal{P},C}^{\eta}(H) \subseteq I_{\mathcal{P},C}^{\mu}(H)$. Then (ii) holds and we are done. □

4. ALMOST PERFECT $K_k^k(h)$-TILING

4.1. **The Weak Regularity Lemma.** We first introduce the *Weak Regularity Lemma*, which is a straightforward extension of Szemerédi's regularity lemma for graphs [35].

Let $H = (V, E)$ be a k-graph and let $A_1, \dots, A_k$ be mutually disjoint non-empty subsets of V. We define the density of H with respect to $(A_1, \dots, A_k)$ as

$$d(A_1, \dots, A_k) = \frac{e(A_1, \dots, A_k)}{|A_1| \cdots |A_k|}.$$

We say a k-tuple $(V_1, \dots, V_k)$ of mutually disjoint subsets $V_1, \dots, V_k \subseteq V$ is *(ϵ, d)-regular*, for $\epsilon > 0$ and $d \geq 0$, if

$$|d(A_1, \dots, A_k) - d| \leq \epsilon$$

for all k-tuples of subsets $A_i \subseteq V_i$, $i \in [k]$, satisfying $|A_i| \geq \epsilon |V_i|$. We say $(V_1, \dots, V_k)$ is *ϵ-regular* if it is (ϵ, d)-regular for some $d \geq 0$.

Theorem 4.1 (Weak Regularity Lemma). *Given $t_0 \geq 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $T_0 = T_0(t_0, \epsilon)$ and $n_0 = n_0(t_0, \epsilon)$ so that for every k-graph $H = (V, E)$ on $n > n_0$ vertices, there exists a partition $V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_t$ such that*

(i) $t_0 \leq t \leq T_0$,
(ii) $|V_1| = |V_2| = \cdots = |V_t|$ and $|V_0| \leq \epsilon n$,
(iii) for all but at most $\epsilon \binom{t}{k}$ k-subsets $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset [t]$, the k-tuple $(V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_k})$ is ϵ-regular.

The partition given in Theorem 4.1 is called an *ϵ-regular partition* of H. Given an ϵ-regular partition of H and $d \geq 0$, we refer to $V_i, i \in [t]$ as *clusters* and define the *cluster hypergraph* $R = R(\epsilon, d)$ with vertex set $[t]$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset [t]$ is an edge if and only if $(V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_k})$ is ϵ-regular and $d(V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_k}) \geq d$.

We combine Theorem 4.1 and [10, Proposition 16] into the following corollary, which shows that the cluster hypergraph almost inherits the minimum degree of the original hypergraph. Its proof is standard and similar as the one of [10, Proposition 16] so we omit it.

Corollary 4.2 ([10]). *Given $c, \epsilon, d > 0$ and t_0, there exist T_0 and n_0 such that the following holds. Let H be a k-graph on $n > n_0$ vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq cn$. Then H has an ϵ-regular partition $V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_t$ with $t_0 \leq t \leq T_0$, and in the cluster hypergraph $R = R(\epsilon, d)$, all but at most $\sqrt{\epsilon} t^{k-1}$ $(k-1)$-subsets S of $[t]$ satisfy $\deg_R(S) \geq (c - d - \sqrt{\epsilon})t - (k-1)$.*

4.2. **The Proof of Lemma 2.4.** The following lemma provides an almost perfect matching under the defect minimum codegree as in Corollary 4.2. Its proof is similar to the proof of [12, Lemma 1.7].

Lemma 4.3 (Almost perfect matching)**.** *For any integer $k \geq 3$ and $0 < \epsilon \ll \alpha, \gamma$ the following holds for sufficiently large n. Let $H = (V, E)$ be an n-vertex k-graph such that all but at most ϵn^{k-1} $(k-1)$-sets $S \subseteq V$ satisfy that* $\deg(S) \geq n/k - \gamma n$*. If H is not γ-extremal, then H contains a matching that covers all but at most αn vertices of V.*

Proof. Let $M = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ be a maximum matching of size m in H. Let $V' = V(M)$ and let $U = V \setminus V'$. We assume that H is not γ-extremal and $|U| > \alpha n$. Note that U is an independent set by the maximality of M.

Let $t = \lceil k/\gamma \rceil$. We greedily pick disjoint $(k-1)$-sets $A_1, \dots, A_t$ in U such that $\deg(A_i) \geq n/k - \gamma n$ for all $i \in [t]$. This is possible since in each step, the number of $(k-1)$-sets that intersect the existing sets or have low degree is at most

$$(k-1)t \cdot \binom{|U|}{k-2} + \epsilon n^{k-1} \leq \frac{k^3}{\gamma |U|}\binom{|U|}{k-1} + \frac{k!\epsilon}{\alpha^{k-1}}\binom{|U|}{k-1} < \binom{|U|}{k-1},$$

because $|U| > \alpha n > 2k^3/\gamma$ and $\epsilon \ll \alpha$. So we can pick the desired $(k-1)$-set.

Let D be the set of vertices $v \in V'$ such that $\{v\} \cup A_i \in E$ for at least k sets A_i, $i \in [t]$. We claim that $|e_i \cap D| \leq 1$ for any $i \in [m]$. Indeed, otherwise, assume that $x, y \in e_i \cap D$. By the definition of D, we can pick A_i, A_j for some distinct $i, j \in [t]$ such that $\{x\} \cup A_i \in E$ and $\{y\} \cup A_j \in E$. We obtain a matching of size $m+1$ by replacing e_i in M by $\{x\} \cup A_i$ and $\{y\} \cup A_j$, contradicting the maximality of M.

We claim that $|D| \geq (\frac{1}{k} - 2\gamma)n$. Indeed, by the degree condition, we have

$$t\left(\frac{1}{k} - \gamma\right) n \leq \sum_{i=1}^{t} \deg(A_i) \leq |D|t + n \cdot k,$$

where we use the fact that U is an independent set. So we get

$$|D| \geq \left(\frac{1}{k} - \gamma\right) n - \frac{nk}{t} \geq \left(\frac{1}{k} - 2\gamma\right) n,$$

where we use $t \geq k/\gamma$.

Let $V_D := \bigcup\{e_i, e_i \cap D \neq \emptyset\}$. Note that $|V_D \setminus D| = (k-1)|D| \geq (k-1)(\frac{1}{k} - 2\gamma)n = \frac{k-1}{k}n - 2\gamma(k-1)n$. We observe that if $H[V_D \setminus D]$ spans no edge, then by adding $\lfloor \frac{k-1}{k} n \rfloor - |V_D \setminus D| \leq 2\gamma(k-1)n$ vertices, we get a set of size $\lfloor \frac{k-1}{k} n \rfloor$ which spans at most

$$2\gamma(k-1)n\binom{\frac{k-1}{k}n}{k-1} < \gamma n^k$$

edges. Since H is not γ-extremal, $H[V_D \setminus D]$ contains at least one edge, denoted by e_0. We assume that e_0 intersects $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_l}$ in M for some $2 \leq l \leq k$. Suppose $\{v_{i_j}\} = e_{i_j} \cap D$ for all $j \in [l]$. By the definition of D, we can greedily pick $A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_l}$ such that $\{v_{i_j}\} \cup A_{i_j} \in E$ for all $j \in [l]$. Let M'' be the matching obtained from replacing the edges $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_l}$ by e_0 and $\{v_{i_j}\} \cup A_{i_j}$ for $j \in [l]$. Thus, M'' has $m+1$ edges, contradicting the maximality of M. ☐

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 2.4.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Fix integers k, h, $0 < \epsilon \ll \gamma, \alpha < 1$. Let n' be the constant returned from Lemma 4.3 with $0 < \epsilon \ll 2\gamma, \alpha$. Let T_0 be the constant returned from Corollary 4.2 with $c = \frac{1}{k} - \gamma$, ϵ^2, $d = \gamma/2$ and $t_0 > \max\{n', 4k/\gamma\}$.

Let n be sufficiently large and let H be a k-graph on n vertices with $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq (\frac{1}{k} - \gamma)n$. Applying Corollary 4.2 with the constants chosen above, we obtain an ϵ^2-regular partition and a cluster hypergraph $R = R(\epsilon^2, d)$ on $[t]$ such that for all but at most ϵt^{k-1} $(k-1)$-sets $S \in \binom{[t]}{k-1}$,

$$\deg_R(S) \geq \left(\frac{1}{k} - \gamma - d - \epsilon\right) t - (k-1) \geq \left(\frac{1}{k} - 2\gamma\right) t,$$

because $d = \gamma/2$, $\epsilon < \gamma/4$ and $k - 1 < \gamma t_0/4 \leq \gamma t/4$. Let m be the size of the clusters, then $(1-\epsilon^2)\frac{n}{t} \leq m \leq \frac{n}{t}$. Applying Lemma 4.3 with the constants chosen above, we derive that either there is a matching M in R which covers all but at most αt vertices of R or there exists a set $B \subseteq V(R)$, such that $|B| = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{k} t \rfloor$ and $e_R(B) \leq \gamma t^k$. In the latter case, let $B' \subseteq V(H)$ be the union of the clusters in B. By regularity,

$$e_H(B') \leq e_R(B) \cdot m^k + \binom{t}{k} \cdot d \cdot m^k + \epsilon^2 \cdot \binom{t}{k} \cdot m^k + t\binom{m}{2}\binom{n}{k-2},$$

where the right-hand side bounds the number of edges from regular k-tuples with high density, edges from regular k-tuples with low density, edges from irregular k-tuples and edges that lie in at most $k-1$ clusters. Since $m \leq \frac{n}{t}$, $\epsilon \ll \gamma$, $d = \gamma/2$, and $t^{-1} < t_0^{-1} < \gamma/(4k)$, we obtain that

$$e_H(B') \leq \gamma t^k \cdot \left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^k + \binom{t}{k}\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^k + \frac{\gamma}{16}\binom{t}{k}\left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^k + t\binom{n/t}{2}\binom{n}{k-2} < \frac{3}{2}\gamma n^k.$$

Note that $|B'| = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{k} t \rfloor m \leq \frac{k-1}{k} t \cdot \frac{n}{t} = \frac{k-1}{k} n$, and consequently $|B'| \leq \lfloor \frac{k-1}{k} n \rfloor$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |B'| &= \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{k} t \right\rfloor m \geq \left(\frac{k-1}{k} t - 1\right)(1-\epsilon^2)\frac{n}{t} \geq \left(\frac{k-1}{k} t - \epsilon^2 \frac{k-1}{k} t - 1\right)\frac{n}{t} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{k-1}{k} t - \epsilon^2 t\right)\frac{n}{t} = \frac{k-1}{k} n - \epsilon^2 n. \end{aligned}$$

By adding at most $\epsilon^2 n$ vertices from $V \setminus B'$ to B', we get a set $B'' \subseteq V(H)$ of size exactly $\lfloor \frac{k-1}{k} n \rfloor$, with $e(B'') \leq e(B') + \epsilon^2 n \cdot n^{k-1} < 2\gamma n^k$. Hence H is 2γ-extremal.

In the former case, the union of the clusters covered by M contains all but at most $\alpha t m + |V_0| \leq \alpha n + \epsilon^2 n$ vertices of H. We apply the following procedure to each member $e \in M$. Note that the corresponding set of clusters $V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_k}$ for e forms an ϵ^2-regular k-tuple. By [8], we can greedily find vertex-disjoint copies of $K_k^k(h)$ until the regularity does not hold, i.e., the set of uncovered vertices in each V_{i_j} has size at most $\epsilon^2 m$. Since $|M| \leq \frac{t}{k}$, we thus obtain $K_k^k(h)$-tiling of H covering all but at most

$$k\epsilon^2 m \cdot \frac{t}{k} + \alpha n + \epsilon^2 n < 2\epsilon^2 n + \alpha n < 2\alpha n$$

vertices of H, as $\epsilon \ll \alpha$. This completes the proof. □

5. The Extremal Case

In this section we prove Theorem 2.2. Take $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ and let $n \in 6\mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large. Let $\epsilon_0 = 24\epsilon$. Let $H = (V, E)$ be an n-vertex 3-graph with $\delta_2(H) \geq n/3$ which is ϵ-extremal, namely, there exists a set $B \subseteq V(H)$ of size $2n/3$ and

$$e(B) \leq \epsilon n^3 = \frac{27}{8}\epsilon |B|^3 \leq \epsilon_0 \binom{|B|}{3}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $\epsilon_1 = 8\sqrt{\epsilon_0}$ and $A = V(H)\backslash B$. Assume that the partition A and B satisfies that $|B| = 2n/3$ and (5.1). In addition, assume that $e(B)$ is the smallest among all the partitions satisfying these conditions. We now define

$$\begin{aligned} A' &:= \left\{ v \in V \mid \deg(v, B) \geq (1-\epsilon_1)\binom{|B|}{2} \right\}, \\ B' &:= \left\{ v \in V \mid \deg(v, B) \leq \epsilon_1\binom{|B|}{2} \right\}, \\ V_0 &= V \setminus (A' \cup B'). \end{aligned}$$

The following simple claim appeared in [16]. We include its proof for completeness.

Claim 5.1. *$A \cap B' \neq \emptyset$ implies that $B \subseteq B'$, and $B \cap A' \neq \emptyset$ implies that $A \subseteq A'$.*

Proof. First, assume that $A \cap B' \neq \emptyset$. Then there is some $u \in A$ which satisfies that $\deg(u, B) \leq \epsilon_1\binom{|B|}{2}$. If there exists some $v \in B \setminus B'$, namely, $\deg(v, B) > \epsilon_1\binom{|B|}{2}$, then we can switch u and v and form a new partition $A'' \cup B''$ such that $|B''| = |B|$ and $e(B'') < e(B)$, which contradicts the minimality of $e(B)$.

Second, assume that $B \cap A' \neq \emptyset$. Then some $u \in B$ satisfies that $\deg(u, B) \geq (1-\epsilon_1)\binom{|B|}{2}$. Similarly, by the minimality of $e(B)$, we get that for any vertex $v \in A$, $\deg(v, B) \geq (1-\epsilon_1)\binom{|B|}{2}$, which implies that $A \subseteq A'$. $\square$

Claim 5.2. $\{|A \setminus A'|, |B \setminus B'|, |A' \setminus A|, |B' \setminus B|\} \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$ *and* $|V_0| \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{32}|B|$.

Proof. First assume that $|B \backslash B'| > \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$. By the definition of B' and the assumption $\epsilon_1 = 8\sqrt{\epsilon_0}$, we get that

$$e(B) > \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_1\binom{|B|}{2} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B| > \frac{\epsilon_1^2}{64}\binom{|B|}{3} = \epsilon_0\binom{|B|}{3},$$

which contradicts (5.1).

Second, assume that $|A \setminus A'| > \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$. Then by the definition of A', for any vertex $v \notin A'$, we have that $\overline{\deg}(v, B) > \epsilon_1\binom{|B|}{2}$. So we get

$$\overline{e}(ABB) > \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B| \cdot \epsilon_1\binom{|B|}{2} = \epsilon_0|B|\binom{|B|}{2} > 3\epsilon_0\binom{|B|}{3}.$$

Together with (5.1), this implies that

$$\sum_{b_1b_2 \in \binom{B}{2}} \overline{\deg}(b_1b_2) \geq 3\overline{e}(B) + \overline{e}(ABB) > 3(1-\epsilon_0)\binom{|B|}{3} + 3\epsilon_0\binom{|B|}{3} = \binom{|B|}{2}(|B|-2).$$

By the pigeonhole principle, there exists $b_1b_2 \in \binom{B}{2}$, such that $\overline{\deg}(b_1b_2) > |B| - 2 = \frac{2n}{3} - 2$, contradicting $\delta_2(H) \geq n/3$.

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A' \setminus A| &= |A' \cap B| \le |B \setminus B'| \le \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|,\\
|B' \setminus B| &= |A \cap B'| \le |A \setminus A'| \le \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|,\\
|V_0| &= |A \setminus A'| + |B \setminus B'| \le \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B| + \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B| = \frac{\epsilon_1}{32}|B|.
\end{aligned}
$$

So the proof is complete. □

We first deal with a special (ideal) case of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $0 < \rho \ll 1$ and let n be sufficiently large. Suppose H is a 3-graph on $n \in 6\mathbb{Z}$ vertices with a partition of $V(H) = X \cup Z$ such that $|Z| = 2|X|$. Furthermore, assume that*

- *for every vertex $v \in X$, $\overline{\deg}(v, Z) \le \rho\binom{|Z|}{2}$,*
- *given every vertex $u \in Z$, we have $\overline{\deg}(uv, X) \le \rho|X|$, for all but at most $\rho|Z|$ vertices $v \in Z \setminus \{u\}$.*

Then H contains a C_6-factor.

To prove Lemma 5.3, we follow the approach in the proof of [7, Lemma 3.4] given by Czygrinow and Molla, who applied a result of Kühn and Osthus [25]. A bipartite graph $G = (A, B, E)$ with $|A| = |B| = n$ is called (d, ϵ)-*regular* if for any two subsets $A' \subseteq A$, $B' \subseteq B$ with $|A'|, |B'| \ge \epsilon n$,

$$(1-\epsilon)d \le \frac{e(A', B')}{|A'||B'|} \le (1+\epsilon)d,$$

and G is called (d, ϵ)-*super-regular* if in addition $(1-\epsilon)dn \le \deg(v) \le (1+\epsilon)dn$ for every $v \in A \cup B$.

Lemma 5.4 ([25], Theorem 1.1)**.** *For all positive constants $d, \nu_0, \eta \le 1$ there is a positive $\epsilon = \epsilon(d, \nu_0, \eta)$ and an integer N_0 such that the following holds for all $n \ge N_0$ and all $\nu \ge \nu_0$. Let $G = (A, B, E)$ be a (d, ϵ)-super-regular bipartite graph whose vertex classes both have size n and let F be a subgraph of G with $|F| = \nu|E|$. Choose a perfect matching M uniformly at random in G. Then with probability at least $1 - e^{-\epsilon n}$ we have*

$$(1-\eta)\nu n \le |M \cap E(F)| \le (1+\eta)\nu n.$$

Proof of Lemma 5.3. Let $\epsilon = \epsilon(1, 0.9, 0.01)$ be the constant returned by Lemma 5.4 and let $\rho \ll \epsilon$. Suppose that n is sufficiently large and H is a 3-graph satisfying the assumption of the lemma. Let G be the graph of all pairs uv in Z such that $\overline{\deg}(uv, X) \le \rho|X|$. By the assumption, for any vertex $v \in Z$, we know

$$\overline{\deg}_G(v) \le \rho|Z|. \tag{5.2}$$

Let $m = |X|/2 = |Z|/4$ and note that $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Arbitrarily partition Z into four sets Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4, each of order m. Let $M = \{x_1x_1', \dots, x_mx_m'\}$ be an arbitrary perfect matching of X. By (5.2) and $|Z| = 4m$, we have $\delta(G[Z_i, Z_{i+1}]) \ge (1-4\rho)m$ for $i \in [3]$. It is easy to see that for $i \in [3]$, $G[Z_i, Z_{i+1}]$ is $(1, \epsilon)$-super-regular as $\rho \ll \epsilon$. For any $x \in X$ and $i \in [3]$, let $F_x^i := E(G[Z_i, Z_{i+1}]) \cap N_H(x)$. Since $\overline{\deg}(x, Z) \le \rho\binom{|Z|}{2} \le 8\rho m^2$, we have

$|F_x^1|, |F_x^2|, |F_x^3| \geq (1-4\rho)m^2 - 8\rho m^2 \geq 0.9m^2$, as $\rho \ll 1$. For $i \in [3]$, let M_i be a perfect matching chosen uniformly at random from $G[Z_i, Z_{i+1}]$. By applying Lemma 5.4 with $\nu_0 = 0.9$ and $\eta = 0.01$, for any $x \in X$, with probability at least $1 - e^{-\epsilon m}$, we have

$$|M_1 \cap E(F_x^1)|, |M_2 \cap E(F_x^2)|, |M_3 \cap E(F_x^3)| \geq (1-\eta)\nu_0 m \geq 0.89m. \tag{5.3}$$

Thus for all $i \in [3]$, there exists a matching M_i in $G[Z_i, Z_{i+1}]$ such that (5.3) holds for all $x \in X$. Label $Z_i = \{z_1^i, \ldots, z_m^i\}$ for $i \in [4]$ such that $M_i = \{z_1^i z_1^{i+1}, \ldots, z_m^i z_m^{i+1}\}$. Let Γ be a bipartite graph on $(M, [m])$ such that $\{x_j x_j', i\} \in E(\Gamma)$ if and only if

$$x_j z_i^1 z_i^2, x_j' z_i^2 z_i^3, x_j z_i^3 z_i^4 \in E(H)$$

for $x_j x_j' \in M$ and $i \in [m]$. For every $i \in [m]$, since $z_i^1 z_i^2, z_i^2 z_i^3, z_i^3 z_i^4 \in E(G)$, we have $\deg_\Gamma(i) \geq m - 3\rho|X| = (1-6\rho)m$ by the definition of G. On the other hand, by (5.3), we have $\deg_\Gamma(x_j x_j') \geq m - 3(1-0.89)m = 0.67m$ for any $x_j x_j' \in M$. By a simple corollary of Hall's Theorem, Γ contains a perfect matching, which gives a C_6-factor in H. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We will build four vertex-disjoint C_6-tilings $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}$ whose union is a perfect C_6-tiling of H. The purpose of the C_6-tilings $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2, \mathcal{R}$ is covering the vertices of V_0 and adjusting the sizes of A' and B' such that we can apply Lemma 5.3 after $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2, \mathcal{R}$ are removed. Note that by $|B \setminus B'| \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$,

$$\deg(w, B') \geq \deg(w, B) - |B \setminus B'||B| \geq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}\binom{|B'|}{2} \text{ for any vertex } w \in V_0, \tag{5.4}$$

and by $|B' \setminus B| \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$, we have

$$\deg(v, B') \leq \deg(v, B) + |B' \setminus B||B'| \leq 2\epsilon_1\binom{|B'|}{2} \text{ for any vertex } v \in B'.$$

Moreover, the latter inequality implies that for all but at most $\sqrt{2\epsilon_1}|B'|$ vertices $u \in B'$, we have $\deg(uv, B') \leq \sqrt{2\epsilon_1}|B'|$. By $\delta_2(H) \geq n/3$ and the bounds in Claim 5.2, this implies that

$$\deg(uv, A') \geq n/3 - \deg(uv, B') - |V_0| \geq n/3 - 2\sqrt{\epsilon_1}|B|.$$

By Claim 5.2, $|A'| \leq n/3 + \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$ and thus

$$\overline{\deg}(uv, A') \leq 2\sqrt{\epsilon_1}|B| + \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B| \leq 3\sqrt{\epsilon_1}|B| = 2\sqrt{\epsilon_1}n.$$

So we have the following

(†) given every vertex $v \in Z$, we have $\overline{\deg}(uv, A') \leq 2\sqrt{\epsilon_1}n$, for all but at most $\sqrt{2\epsilon_1}|B'|$ vertices $u \in B' \setminus \{v\}$.

The C_6-tilings $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2$. Assume that $|V_0| = q_1$ and $|B'| = 2n/3 + q$. Thus, by Claim 5.2, $q_1, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq q_1 \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{32}|B|$, $-\frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B| \leq q \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$. We claim that there is a C_6-tiling $\mathcal{Q}_1$ consisting of q_1 copies of C_6 such that each copy contains one vertex in A', one vertex in V_0 and four vertices in B' and a C_6-tiling $\mathcal{Q}_2$ consisting of $q_2 = \max\{q, 0\}$ copies of C_6 such that each copy contains one vertex in A' and five vertices in B'.

To see this, first, note that $\delta_2(H[B']) \geq q_2$. Thus, by a result of [34, Fact 2.1], we know that B' contains a matching $M = \{e_1, \dots, e_{q_2}\}$.[1] Now consider $V_0 \cup (B' \setminus V(M))$. We claim that we can greedily find a matching $M' = \{e_{q_2+1}, \dots, e_{q_1+q_2}\}$ such that each edge contains exactly one vertex in V_0. Indeed, by (5.4), each vertex $w \in V_0$ has at least $\frac{\epsilon_1}{2}\binom{|B'|}{2}$ neighbors in B'. Note that the number of vertices in the existing matching is at most $3(q_1+q_2) \leq \frac{9\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$, and thus the number of pairs that are unavailable for w is at most $\frac{9\epsilon_1}{64}|B||B'| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}\binom{|B'|}{2}$. So we can pick an edge that contains w and two vertices in B' which is disjoint from other edges in the matching.

For each $1 \leq i \leq q_1 + q_2$, let $e_i = \{u_i, v_i, w_i\}$. In particular, assume $V_0 = \{w_{q_2+1}, \dots, w_{q_1+q_2}\}$. By (†), fix $u_i, v_i \in B'$, we can pick vertices $x_i, y_i \in B'$ such that $\overline{\deg}(u_i x_i, A') \leq 2\sqrt{\epsilon_1}n$ and $\overline{\deg}(v_i y_i, A') \leq 2\sqrt{\epsilon_1}n$. So we can pick a vertex $z_i \in N(u_i x_i, A') \cap N(v_i y_i, A')$. Note that $\{u_i, v_i, w_i, x_i, y_i, z_i\}$ spans a desired copy of C_6. Also, note that we have $|B'| - \sqrt{2\epsilon_1}|B'|$ choices for each x_i and y_i, respectively, and $|A'| - 4\sqrt{\epsilon_1}n$ choices for $z_i \in A'$. So we can select these vertices without repetition, which gives the desired C_6-tilings $\mathcal{Q}_1$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2$.

Let A_1 and B_1 be the sets of vertices in A' and B' not covered by $\mathcal{Q}_1 \cup \mathcal{Q}_2$, respectively. Note that $q_1 + q_2 \leq |A \setminus A'| \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$ by Claim 5.2, thus

$$|B_1| \geq |B'| - 5(q_1+q_2) \geq |B'| - \frac{5\epsilon_1}{64}|B| \geq |B| - \frac{3\epsilon_1}{32}|B|. \tag{5.5}$$

Note that by $|A'| + |V_0| + |B'| = n$, we have $|A'| = \frac{n}{3} - q_1 - q$. If $q \geq 0$, then by the definition of $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2$, we have $|A_1| = |A'| - q_1 - q = \frac{n}{3} - 2q_1 - 2q$ and $|B_1| = |B'| - 4q_1 - 5q = \frac{2n}{3} - 4q_1 - 4q$, i.e., $|B_1| = 2|A_1|$. Otherwise $q < 0$ and thus, $|A_1| = |A'| - q_1 = \frac{n}{3} - 2q_1 - q$ and $|B_1| = |B'| - 4q_1 = \frac{2n}{3} - 4q_1 + q$. So we have $2|A_1| - |B_1| = -3q > 0$. Define $s = \frac{1}{3}(2|A_1| - |B_1|)$. Then $s = 0$ if $q \geq 0$ and $s = -q \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$ if $q < 0$.

The C_6-tiling $\mathcal{R}$. Next we build our C_6-tiling $\mathcal{R}$ of size $s \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$ such that every element of $\mathcal{R}$ contains three vertices in A_1 and three vertices in B_1. We will construct one desired copy of C_6 such that for each of its vertex v, there are more than $3s$ vertices in A_1 or B_1 can be selected as v, thus proving the claim. We start with any vertex u in B_1. By (†), we can pick $v \in B_1$ and then pick $w \in B_1$ such that $\overline{\deg}(uw, A_1) \leq 2\sqrt{\epsilon_1}n$ and $\overline{\deg}(vw, A_1) \leq 2\sqrt{\epsilon_1}n$. Note that the numbers of choices for v and w are at least $|B_1| - \sqrt{2\epsilon_1}|B'| > 3s$ and at least $|B_1| - 2\sqrt{2\epsilon_1}|B'| > 3s$, respectively. At last we pick $x \in N(uv, A_1)$, $y \in N(uw, A_1)$ and $z \in N(vw, A_1)$, and for each of them, at least $|A_1| - 2\sqrt{\epsilon_1}n > 3s$ vertices can be selected. This completes the proof.

Let A_2 be the set of vertices of A not covered by $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2, \mathcal{R}$ and define B_2 similarly. Then $|A_2| = |A_1| - 3s$ and $|B_2| = |B_1| - 3s$. If $q \geq 0$, then $s = 0$ and $|B_2| = 2|A_2|$. Otherwise $s = -q$ and so $2|A_2| - |B_2| = 2|A_1| - |B_1| - 3s = 0$. Furthermore, by $s \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{64}|B|$ and (5.5), we have

$$|B_2| = |B_1| - 3s \geq |B| - \frac{3\epsilon_1}{32}|B| - \frac{3\epsilon_1}{64}|B| > (1-\epsilon_1)|B|.$$

Hence, for every vertex $v \in A_2$,

$$\overline{\deg}(v, B_2) \leq \overline{\deg}(v, B') \leq \epsilon_1\binom{|B|}{2} + |B' \setminus B||B'| \leq \epsilon_1\binom{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon_1}|B_2|}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}|B_2|^2 < 3\epsilon_1\binom{|B_2|}{2}.$$

---

[1] We remark that this is the only place where we need the exact codegree condition $n/3$.

Moreover, by the definition of A_2 and s, we have

$$|A_2| = |A_1| - 3s \geq \frac{n}{3} - 2q_1 - 2|q| - 3s \geq (1/3 - \epsilon_1)n.$$

So we get $n \leq 4|A_2|$. By (†), given $v \in B_2$, for all but at most $\sqrt{2\epsilon_1}|B'| \leq 2\sqrt{\epsilon_1}|B_2|$ vertices $u \in B_2$, we have

$$\overline{\deg}(uv, A_2) \leq 2\sqrt{\epsilon_1}n \leq 8\sqrt{\epsilon_1}|A_2|.$$

The C_6-tiling $\mathcal{S}$. At last, we apply Lemma 5.3 with $X = A_2$, $Z = B_2$ and $\rho = 8\sqrt{\epsilon_1}$ and get a C_6-factor $\mathcal{S}$ on $A_2 \cup B_2$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we have studied C_6-factors in 3-graphs. Note that we can state our main result in the following way: Given $n = 6t$ be sufficiently large, then any n-vertex 3-graph H with $\delta_2(H) \geq 2t$ contains t vertex-disjoint copies of C_6. This suggests the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.1. *Given $n \geq 6t$ be sufficiently large, then any n-vertex 3-graph H with $\delta_2(H) \geq 2t$ contains t vertex-disjoint copies of C_6.*

Note that this conjecture, if true, trivially implies the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.2. *Given $n \geq 6t$ be sufficiently large, then any n-vertex 3-graph H with $\delta_2(H) \geq 2t$ contains t vertex-disjoint loose cycles.*

Conjecture 6.2 can be seen as an analogue of Corrádi-Hajnal Theorem for loose cycles in 3-graphs. It is not hard to show both conjectures for $t = 1$.

Note that the result in [14] implies that $t_1(n, C_6^3) = (5/9 + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$. Indeed, it is shown that $t_1(n, K_3^3(2)) = (5/9 + o(1))\binom{n}{2}$ and the upper bound holds because C_6^3 is a subhypergraph of $K_3^3(2)$. The lower bound follows from the construction that shows the sharpness of Theorem 1.1 in Section 1. It is interesting to know the exact value of $t_1(n, C_6^3)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Yi Zhao for invaluable comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

[1] N. Alon, P. Frankl, H. Huang, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and B. Sudakov. Large matchings in uniform hypergraphs and the conjecture of Erdős and Samuels. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 119(6):1200–1215, 2012.

[2] N. Alon and R. Yuster. H-factors in dense graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 66(2):269–282, 1996.

[3] K. Corrádi and A. Hajnal. On the maximal number of independent circuits in a graph. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 14:423–439, 1963.

[4] A. Czygrinow. Minimum degree condition for C_4-tiling in 3-uniform hypergraphs. *submitted.*

[5] A. Czygrinow, L. DeBiasio, and B. Nagle. Tiling 3-uniform hypergraphs with $K_4^3 - 2e$. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 75(2):124–136, 2014.

[6] A. Czygrinow and V. Kamat. Tight co-degree condition for perfect matchings in 4-graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 19(2):Paper 20, 16, 2012.

[7] A. Czygrinow and T. Molla. Tight codegree condition for the existence of loose Hamilton cycles in 3-graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 28(1):67–76, 2014.

[8] P. Erdős. On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 2(3):183–190, 1964.

[9] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi. Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős. In *Combinatorial theory and its applications, II (Proc. Colloq., Balatonfüred, 1969)*, pages 601–623. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.

[10] H. Hàn and M. Schacht. Dirac-type results for loose Hamilton cycles in uniform hypergraphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B*, 100:332–346, 2010.

[11] J. Han. Decision problem for perfect matchings in dense uniform hypergraphs. *submitted*.

[12] J. Han. Near perfect matchings in k-uniform hypergraphs. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 24:723–732, 9 2015.

[13] J. Han, A. Lo, A. Treglown, and Y. Zhao. Exact minimum codegree threshold for K_4^--factors. *preprint*.

[14] J. Han, C. Zang, and Y. Zhao. Minimum vertex degree thresholds for tiling complete 3-partite 3-graphs. *submitted*.

[15] J. Han and Y. Zhao. Minimum codegree thresholds for tiling loose cycles in k-uniform hypergraphs. in preparation.

[16] J. Han and Y. Zhao. Minimum degree thresholds for loose Hamilton cycle in 3-graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 114:70 – 96, 2015.

[17] J. Han and Y. Zhao. Minimum vertex degree threshold for C_4^3-tiling. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 79(4):300–317, 2015.

[18] P. Hell and D. G. Kirkpatrick. On the complexity of general graph factor problems. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 12(3):601–609, 1983.

[19] P. Keevash. A hypergraph blow-up lemma. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 39(3):275–376, 2011.

[20] P. Keevash and R. Mycroft. A geometric theory for hypergraph matching. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 233(Monograph 1908), 2014.

[21] I. Khan. Perfect matchings in 4-uniform hypergraphs. *arXiv:1101.5675*.

[22] I. Khan. Perfect matchings in 3-uniform hypergraphs with large vertex degree. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 27(2):1021–1039, 2013.

[23] J. Komlós, G. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. Proof of the Alon-Yuster conjecture. *Discrete Math.*, 235(1-3):255–269, 2001. Combinatorics (Prague, 1998).

[24] D. Kühn and D. Osthus. Loose Hamilton cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs of high minimum degree. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B*, 96(6):767–821, 2006.

[25] D. Kühn and D. Osthus. Multicolored Hamilton cycles and perfect matchings in pseudorandom graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 20(2):273–286 (electronic), 2006.

[26] D. Kühn and D. Osthus. Embedding large subgraphs into dense graphs. In *Surveys in combinatorics 2009*, volume 365 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 137–167. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[27] D. Kühn and D. Osthus. The minimum degree threshold for perfect graph packings. *Combinatorica*, 29(1):65–107, 2009.

[28] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and A. Treglown. Matchings in 3-uniform hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 103(2):291–305, 2013.

[29] A. Lo and K. Markström. Minimum codegree threshold for $(K_4^3 - e)$-factors. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 120(3):708–721, 2013.

[30] A. Lo and K. Markström. F-factors in hypergraphs via absorption. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 31(3):679–712, 2015.

[31] R. Mycroft. Packing k-partite k-uniform hypergraphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, accepted*.

[32] V. Rödl and A. Ruciński. Dirac-type questions for hypergraphs a survey (or more problems for endre to solve). *An Irregular Mind*, Bolyai Soc. Math. Studies 21:561–590, 2010.

[33] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi. A Dirac-type theorem for 3-uniform hypergraphs. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 15(1-2):229–251, 2006.

[34] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi. Perfect matchings in large uniform hypergraphs with large minimum collective degree. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 116(3):613–636, 2009.

[35] E. Szemerédi. Regular partitions of graphs. In *Problèmes combinatoires et théorie des graphes (Colloq. Internat. CNRS, Univ. Orsay, Orsay, 1976)*, volume 260 of *Colloq. Internat. CNRS*, pages 399–401. CNRS, Paris, 1978.

[36] A. Treglown and Y. Zhao. A note on perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs. *preprint*.

[37] A. Treglown and Y. Zhao. Exact minimum degree thresholds for perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs II. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 120(7):1463–1482, 2013.

[38] W. T. Tutte. The factorization of linear graphs. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 22:107–111, 1947.

[39] Y. Zhao. Recent advances on dirac-type problems for hypergraphs. *preprint*.

DEPT OF MATH AND STAT, GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY, ATLANTA, GA 30302-4110, USA
E-mail address, Wei Gao: `wgao2@gsu.edu`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, RUA DO MATÃO 1010, 05508-090, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL
E-mail address, Jie Han: `jhan@ime.usp.br`